

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4322-12/24**

**Muhammad Ali**

**Vs**

**Press Information Department**

March 06, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 31-01-2025 under RGL No. 146484292. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
3. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4353-12/2024**

**Ishaque Ahmed Khawaja**

**Vs**

**National Accountability Bureau (NAB)**

March 06, 2025

Hamid Javed Awan, Additional Director, Shafqat Munir Malik, Special Prosecutor, NAB appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The public body has submitted a written reply, they had raised primary objections as under;
  1. The appeal filed is not under the stipulated time frame.
  2. The appeal is not maintainable under the Act.
  3. The appeal is not within the jurisdiction of the appellate authority/Information Commission.

These were discussed, the public body version was heard. Provision of the act were perused. The appeal is filed under the stipulated time frame as the public body did not extend the time limit as per section 14 (2) of the Act. Maintainability of the appeal is established as certificate under Rule 8 has been found to be placed on the file. The plea about lack of jurisdiction of the Commission has been withdrawn after the factual position was explained to the representative of the public body. The reply of the public body has been matched with the information request of the appellant. The reply matches with the information sought at Serail No. 1 and 2 of the information request. The requirement of appeal is satisfied. No further action is required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissio

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4035-09/2024**

**Kashif Shahzad Khan**

**Vs**

**Wafaqi Mohtasib (Ombudsman's) Secretariat**

March 06, 2025

Faisal Hassan, APS, Wafaqi Mohtasib appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the public body has provided an objective response in accordance with the information request as well as the rejoinder of the appellant.
3. This may be shared with the appellant. In view of the comprehensive reply from the public body, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4225-11/2024**

**Sheikh Humayun Nazir**

**Vs**

**Institute of Chartered Accounts of Pakistan**

March 06, 2025

Appellant present in person. Malik Haroon Hameed Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. Both the parties have been heard at length. The response of the public body dated 11-01-2025 has been perused, wherein the status of the complaint has been provided. The complaints filed by the Appellant against the partners of M/s. RSM Avais Hyder Liaquat Nauman have been concluded by the Investigation Committee of the Institute, and the same was communicated to the Appellant through Closure Letters dated October 25, 2024. Furthermore, this was also informed to the Pakistan Information Commission (PIC) via the Institute's letter dated November 25, 2024, bearing Ref: ICAP/CLS/000001/118463, submitted in Appeal No. 3798-07/2024 filed by the Appellant on the same subject matter, i.e., the status of his complaints filed against the partners of M/s. RSM Avais Hyder Liaquat Nauman, which have been concluded by the Investigation Committee of the Institute.
3. Said letter has already been provided to the Appellant. The response meets the requirement of the information request as the Appellant had sought the status of the complaint.
4. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3481-02/2024**

**Saddia Mazhar**

**Vs**

**Establishment Division**

March 06, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The information provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 19-02-2025 under RGL No. 146485087. No response has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. Implementation proceedings are disposed of.
3. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4242-11/2024**

**Atif Metah**

**Vs**

**National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (NEECA)**

March 06, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The information provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 28-02-2025 under RGL No. 146202983. The appellant vide email dated 06-03-2025 has stated that the information provided by the public body is satisfactory and the appeal may be closed. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3858-08/2024**

**Munir Ahmad**

**Vs**

**National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (NEECA)**

March 06, 2025

Appellant present in person. Syed Shabih Haider, Director, NEECA appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The appellant has responded to the information provided to him by the public body, stating that except for the information requested at Serial 5 and 6 of the information request, none of the requested information has been provided, or where provided, it is false and irrelevant.
3. He has agitated against the attitude of the public body and requested to proceed under Section 20 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
4. Copy of the rejoinder submitted by the appellant is handed over to Syed Shabih Haider for objective response in order to preempt order under Section 20 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
5. Adjourned to 18-03-2025 with the directions to provide the information failing which the Commission will proceed further.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoab Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4174-11/2024**

**Aqil Aziz**

**Vs**

**Federal Board of Revenue (FBR)**

March 06, 2025

Zafar Iqbal, Second Secretary and Syed Shabih Haider, Deputy Director, FBR appeared on behalf of the public body.\

2. Response of the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 13-01-2025 under RGL No. 146482993. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
3. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3945-09/2024**

**Masood Ahmed**

**Vs**

**Drug Regulatory Authority of Pakistan (DRAP)**

March 06, 2025

Muhammad Ikram, Assistant Director, DRAP appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the public body states that the CEO of the public body, to whom the show cause notice was issued, retired from service on 04-02-2025. A reply on his behalf, under the signature of Hafiz Bilal, was received on 27-01-2025, wherein the then-CEO regretted his non-appearance on 16-01-2025 and submitted an unconditional apology. In view of the fact that he has retired, the response on his behalf by Hafiz Bilal is accepted, and hence, the show cause notice is withdrawn.
3. The Assistant Director has submitted that the appellant has withdrawn his appeal. The file was checked, wherein the appellant has submitted a letter stating that he is withdrawing the appeal voluntarily and does not need the requested information.
4. In view of the written request of the appellant, there seems to be no reason to proceed further. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3946-09/2024**

**Masood Ahmed**

**Vs**

**Drug Regulatory Authority of Pakistan (DRAP)**

March 06, 2025

Muhammad Ikram, Assistant Director, DRAP appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the public body states that the CEO of the public body, to whom the show cause notice was issued, retired from service on 04-02-2025. Reply on his behalf, under the signature of Hafiz Bilal, was received on 27-01-2025, wherein the then-CEO regretted his non-appearance on 16-01-2025 and submitted an unconditional apology. In view of the fact that he has retired, the response on his behalf by Hafiz Bilal is accepted, and hence, the show cause notice is withdrawn.
3. The Assistant Director has submitted that the appellant has withdrawn his appeal. The file was checked, wherein the appellant has submitted a letter stating that he is withdrawing the appeal voluntarily and does not need the requested information.
4. In view of the written request of the appellant, there seems to be no reason to proceed further. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 4257-11/2024**

**Sabah Uddin**

**Vs**

**Air University**

March 06, 2025

Appellant present in person. None appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The appellant agitates that his appeal was filed on 29-11-2024 and that the public body is not responding. He has requested the issuance of an ex parte order to avoid undue delay.
3. The file has been perused. It has been observed that the first notice for the provision of information was issued by the Commission to the Vice Chancellor of the public body on 13-12-2024, RGL No. 146482140, followed by two hearing notices dated 01-01-2025, RGL No. 146482633 and 11-02-2025, RGL No. 146484970.
4. In view of these facts, the concern of the appellant is justified. The notices dated 01-01-2025 and 11-02-2025 mention that failure to attend the hearing will result in an ex parte decision and that those responsible will be dealt with under the relevant provisions of the Act. Taking note of the denial of appearance and the failure to provide the information by the public body, and in view of prior notice already served on the public body regarding an ex parte decision, the Commission finds it justifiable to pass an ex parte order.
5. The Vice Chancellor of the public body is directed, under Section 20(1)(e) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, to provide the permissible information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of this order, failing which further action under the relevant sections of the Act shall be initiated against him.
6. The appeal is decided as above. The office will fix the appeal after the compliance period provided to the public body to ascertain whether the order has been complied with or not. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**

Information Commissioner

**Shoab Ahmad Siddiqui**

Chief Information Commissione

